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#### Abstract

In this paper free large-amplitude flexural vibrations of thin plates with various planforms and boundary conditions are studied by the R-function method. This method is based on the joint application of the Rfunction theory and variational methods. The main feature of the R-function theory is the possibility to present all geometric information given in the boundary value problem in analytical form, which allows one to seek a solution in the form of some formula called the solution structure. A method of constructing the solution structures for the given nonlinear vibration plate bending problems is developed. Numerical examples of large-amplitude flexural vibrations of thin plates with arbitrary shapes and boundary conditions for illustrating the aforementioned R -function method and comparison against the other methods are made to demonstrate its merits and advantages.
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## 1. Introduction

Geometrically nonlinear vibration analysis of thin plates is of primary importance in structural mechanics. It is well known that there are considerable mathematical difficulties associated with the complexity of mathematical formulation of the corresponding boundary value problem

[^0](BVP), which is governed by a system of partial nonlinear differential equations with the prescribed boundary conditions. The geometrically nonlinear vibration analysis of thin plates with various boundary conditions has been analyzed by many researchers [1-15]. In 1996, M. Sathyamoorthy had presented a comprehensive and excellent review in the field [2]. It is known that the analytical form of the solution to this class of problems may be obtained for some simple cases of plan forms and boundary conditions of the plates involved [3-5]. Therefore, some numerical methods are applied in solving nonlinear vibration problems, such as Galerkin and Ritz methods [6-8], asymptotic [9] and the method of averages [10], various perturbation techniques [11] and others. The most widely used numerical methods for the nonlinear vibration analysis are the finite element method (FEM) [12-15] and the boundary element method (BEM) [16,17]. However, in spite of the versatility of the FEM and BEM, the numerical results for the given nonlinear BVP for vibrating plates of complex planforms and various boundary conditions were reported only in few works [11,12,18].

In this paper, the R-function method (RFM) based on the joint application of variational methods and the R-function theory $[19,20]$ and developed for the free linear vibration analysis of thin orthotropic plates in Ref. [21], is extended to the geometrically nonlinear vibration analysis of thin plates of arbitrary shape with various boundary conditions.

## 2. Problem statement

Consider a thin, isotropic plate of constant thickness of surface $\Omega$ and boundary $\partial \Omega$. The geometrically nonlinear dynamic analysis of thin plates is based on the governing von Karmantype nonlinear partial differential equations of motion [22]. These equations for large-amplitude vibrating thin plates are of the form [23].

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[B\left(\varepsilon_{x}+v \varepsilon_{y}\right)\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[B \frac{1-v}{2} \varepsilon_{x y}\right]-\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[B \frac{1-v}{2} \varepsilon_{x y}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[B\left(\varepsilon_{y}+v \varepsilon_{x}\right)\right]-\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial t^{2}}=0  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left[D\left(\chi_{x}+v \chi_{y}\right)\right]+2 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \partial y}\left[D(1-v) \chi_{x y}\right]+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\left[D\left(\chi_{y}+v \chi_{x}\right)\right]-\rho h \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B=E h /\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ and $D=E h^{3} /\left[12\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right]$ are the extensional and flexural rigidities, respectively, for an isotropic plate of uniform thickness $h ; E$ and $v$ are the Young modulus and the Poisson ratio, respectively, $\rho$ is the mass density. The strain-displacement relations are of the form [23]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}, \quad \varepsilon_{y}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}, \quad \varepsilon_{x y}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \\
& \chi_{x}=-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad \chi_{y}=-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}, \quad \chi_{x y}=-2 \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}$, and $\varepsilon_{x, y}$ are in-plane strains components at points of the plate middle surface, and $\chi_{x}$, $\chi_{y}$, and $\chi_{x y}$ are the flexural and twisting curvatures, respectively, $u, v$, and $w$ are displacements in the $x, y, z$ directions, respectively.

The system of differential equations (1) must be complemented with initial and boundary conditions. The four boundary conditions are prescribed along the boundary $\partial \Omega=\bigcup_{i=1}^{M} \partial \Omega_{i}$ as follows:
(a) Clamped edge $\partial \Omega_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0, \quad v=0, \quad w=\frac{\partial w}{\partial n}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Simply supported edge $\partial \Omega_{2}$, assuming that the displacements of points on the boundary are neglected

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=0, \quad v=0, \quad w=0, \quad M_{n}=-D\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial n^{2}}+v \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial s^{2}}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{n}$ is the normal bending moment, $n$ is the outward normal to the boundary component $\partial \Omega_{2}$ and $s$ denotes the arc length measured along the boundary.

## 3. The method of solution

For solving the given vibration problem, Hamilton's principle may be used in the form [24]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}}\left(U_{s}-T\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{S}$ and $T$ are the strain and kinetic energies, respectively. The corresponding expressions for these energies are given by [24]

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{s}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left\{B\left[\varepsilon_{x}^{2}+\varepsilon_{y}^{2}+2 v \varepsilon_{x} \varepsilon_{y}+\frac{1-v}{2} \varepsilon_{x y}^{2}\right]+D\left[\chi_{x}^{2}+\chi_{y}^{2}+2 v \chi_{x} \chi_{y}+\frac{1-v}{2} \chi_{x y}^{2}\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} \Omega \\
& T=\frac{\rho h}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \Omega \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

For harmonic vibrations, displacements $u$, $v$, and $w$ can be presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y, t)=U(x, y) \sin \lambda t, \quad v(x, y, t)=V(x, y) \sin \lambda t, \quad w(x, y, t)=W(x, y) \sin \lambda t \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the natural frequency of vibrations.
Using Eqs. (2) and (7), we can express the functional (6) in terms of $u, v$, and $w$. Integrating over the interval equal to the period of vibration, i.e. $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 2 \pi / \lambda$, and taking the expressions (6) into
account, brings the functional (5) to the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda= & \frac{\pi}{2 \lambda}\left[\int _ { \Omega } \left\{B\left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+2(v-1)\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.+D\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}-(1-v)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} \Omega \\
& \left.+\frac{3 B}{4} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega-\lambda^{2} \rho h \int_{\Omega}\left(W^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \Omega\right] . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expression, the first integral represents the strain energy due to the linear deformation of the plate, while the second integral represents the strain energy due to the nonlinear straining of the plate. The third integral is the kinetic energy of the plate assuming the harmonic vibrations.

It is known from the theory of variational methods that the solution of the governing equations of the given nonlinear BVP with the boundary conditions (3) and (4) is equivalent to the problem of minimizing the functional (8) [25]. The minimization of the functional can be carried out on a set of functions satisfying only the so-called principal or kinematic boundary conditions (3) and the first three conditions (4), while the fourth condition (4), as well as the boundary conditions for the free edge of the plate (not presented above), are natural for the functional (8). The latter will be satisfied automatically in the minimization of the functional.

The minimization of functional (8) will be carried out by the Ritz method. Before proceeding further with this procedure, let us notice that the above functional is not a quadratic function of the displacement components. Therefore, we will slightly modify this functional. Let us introduce some additional functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}, \quad f_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are known functions. Upon substitution of Eqs. (9) into functional (8) one transforms it into the quadratic functional of unknown functions $U$, $V$, and $W$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda= & \frac{\pi}{2 \lambda}\left[\int _ { \Omega } \left\{B\left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\right)^{2}+2(v-1)\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right.\right. \\
& \left.+D\left[\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2}-(1-v)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial y^{2}}-2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \mathrm{d} \Omega \\
& \left.+\frac{3 B}{4} \int_{\Omega}\left[f_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+f_{2} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right]^{2} \mathrm{~d} \Omega-\lambda^{2} \rho h \int_{\Omega}\left(W^{2}+U^{2}+V^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} \Omega\right] \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the $R$-function method, let us construct the solution structures for the displacement components satisfying the prescribed principal boundary conditions (3) and (4). For example, the following solution structure will satisfy the boundary conditions (3) and (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\omega \cdot P_{1}, \quad V=\omega \cdot P_{2}, \quad W=\omega^{k} \cdot P_{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=0$ is the equation of the whole boundary $\Omega$. The values of the exponent $k$ for the function $\omega(x, y)$ in Eqs. (11) are selected depending on the type of boundary conditions involved, i.e., $k=1$, if the plate is simply supported and $k=2$ when the plate is clamped over the boundary. For the case of mixed boundary conditions, i.e., clamped-simply supported edges of the plate, the solution structure is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\omega P_{1}, \quad V=\omega P_{2}, \quad W=\omega \omega_{1} P_{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{1}=0$ is the equation of the clamped part of the boundary $\partial \Omega_{1} ; P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$ are some indefinite components given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{1}} a_{i} \varphi_{i}(x, y), \quad P_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{i=N_{1}+1}^{N_{2}} a_{i} \varphi_{i}(x, y), \quad P_{3}(x, y)=\sum_{i=N_{2}+1}^{N_{3}} a_{i} \psi_{i}(x, y), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\varphi_{i}(x, y)\right\}$, $\left\{\phi_{i}(x, y)\right\}$, and $\left\{\psi_{i}(x, y)\right\}$ are known elements (coordinate functions) of some functional space containing the above indefinite components and forming some complete sequences in this space. For example, we may select the system of algebraic polynomials, Chebushev or Legendre polynomials, trigonometric polynomials or some finite functions (spines, atomic functions, etc.) as $\left\{\varphi_{i}(x, y)\right\},\left\{\phi_{i}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{i}(x, y)\right\}$. In the present case, the algebraic polynomials are chosen as complete sets of the above functions. The undetermined coefficients $a_{i}\left(i=1,2, \ldots N_{3}\right)$ are evaluated from the conditions of minimizing functional (10), which result in the following system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial a_{i}}=0 \quad\left(i=1, \ldots, N_{3}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a construction of the solution structure it is necessary to select the functions $\omega(x, y)$ and $\omega_{1}(x, y)$ in Eqs. (12) in such a way that the following conditions will be satisfied:

$$
\omega(x, y)=0, \forall(x, y) \in \partial \Omega ; \quad \omega_{1}(x, y)=0, \forall(x, y) \in \partial \Omega ; \quad \omega(x, y)>0, \forall(x, y) \in \Omega .
$$

The fact that the function $\omega(x, y)$ vanishes only at points of the boundary is highly essential, since otherwise some "unnecessary conditions" may be imposed on the solution. As a result of that, the chosen functions $P_{i}, i=1,2,3$ will not be able to provide a sufficient accuracy of the solution, i.e., the solution structures will not be complete.

The problem of minimization of the functional (10) for the given nonlinear BVP is solved by using the following iterative procedure. In the first step of the iterative process, the aboveintroduced functions (9) are set equal to zero, i.e., $f_{1}=f_{2}=0$. Then, minimizing the functional (10), one can obtain the solution for the linear frequencies $\lambda_{m}$ and the corresponding eigenfunctions $W_{m}$. The latter are scaled up by some given amplitude of vibration $W_{m}^{*}$ at some fixed point of the plate $M_{0}(\xi, \eta)$. As a rule, $M_{0}(\xi, \eta)$ is the point where the corresponding eigenfunction reaches its maximum value. Having determined the eigenfunction that corresponds to the chosen frequency, one can determine functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ for the following steps of the iterative process.

Thus, by knowing the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, one can minimize functional (10) by the iterative technique, iteration is continued until a modified Euclidean norm based on the difference between the eigenfunctions, obtained for the two adjacent iterations, will not exceed the given value of
accuracy $\varepsilon$, i.e.

$$
\sum_{j=N_{2}+1}^{N_{3}}\left(\frac{a_{j}^{(r)}-a_{j}^{(r-1)}}{a_{j}^{(r-1)}}\right)^{2}<\varepsilon,
$$

where $r$ is the number of iteration, $a_{j}^{(r)}, a_{j}^{(r-1)}$ are the coefficients that determine eigenfunctions $W_{m}$ on $r$ and $r-1$ iterations, respectively, from the formulas

$$
W_{m}^{(r)}(x, y)=\sum_{j=N_{2}+1}^{N_{3}} a_{j m}^{(r)} w_{j}, \quad W_{m}^{(r-1)}(x, y)=\sum_{j=N_{2}+1}^{N_{3}} a_{j m}^{(r-1)} w_{j}, m=1,2, \ldots,\left(N_{3}-N_{2}+1\right)
$$

## 3. Numerical results

The proposed method for nonlinear vibrations of thin plates has been incorporated into a software system POLE-RL based on a general RFM formulation for various problems of solid mechanics [20]. Some results of numerical investigations, obtained with this software system to illustrate the performance of the method, its relative accuracy, and overall effectiveness are presented below. Testing of the proposed method was carried out for plates of simple and complex geometry having simply supported and clamped edges, as well as mixed boundary conditions. The parameters of investigated plates are: thickness $h / a=0.01$, Poisson's ratio $v=$ 0.3 . The accuracy of the iterative calculation was assigned to be $\varepsilon=0.0001$. The integration was implemented numerically by using 12 -point Gaussian quadrature.

### 3.1. Example 1

Let us consider a square plate with the following boundary conditions: (1) the plate is clamped along $x= \pm a$ and simply supported along $y= \pm a$ (CSCS); (2) the plate is clamped along $x=$ $-a, y=-a$ and simply supported along $x=a, y=a$ (CCSS); (3) the plate is clamped along $x= \pm a, y=a$ and simply supported along $y=-a(\mathrm{CCCS})$; (4) the plate is clamped along $y=-a$ and simply supported along $x= \pm a, y=-a$ (CSSS).

The presented numerical results below were obtained for each type of the above-indicated boundary conditions by using the solution structures (12). Table 1 presents the fundamental frequency period ratios $T / T_{L}$, where $T$ is nonlinear and $T_{L}$ are linear periods, respectively, for the given isotropic square plate. All of the numerical results given in Table 1 have been obtained by retaining in expansions (12):
(1) 70 coordinate functions for the CSCS boundary conditions. This corresponds to 10 th degrees algebraic polynomials approximating $U$ and $V$ and 12th degree polynomial approximating $W$ with regard to the symmetry of the plate and boundary conditions.
(2) 208 coordinate functions for the CCSS boundary conditions. This corresponds to 9 th degrees algebraic polynomials approximating $U$ and $V$ and 11th degree polynomial approximating $W$ with no symmetry taken into account.

Table 1
Fundamental frequencies periods ratios $T / T_{L}$ of square plates

| Type of boundary conditions |  | $\omega_{L}=\lambda_{L} a^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{D}}$ | $T / T_{L}$ at $W^{*} / h$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1 |
| CSCS | RFM | $\begin{aligned} & 28.951 \\ & 28.948 \end{aligned}$ | 0.9934 | 0.9743 | 0.9446 | 0.9073 | 0.8651 |
|  | [14] | - | 0.9904 | 0.9633 | 0.9227 | 0.8739 | 0.8214 |
| CCSS | RFM | $\begin{aligned} & 27.056 \\ & 27.208 \end{aligned}$ | 0.9819 | 0.9653 | 0.9272 | 0.8815 | 0.8326 |
|  | [14] | - | 0.9863 | 0.9487 | 0.8953 | 0.8349 | 0.7741 |
| CSSS | RFM | $\begin{aligned} & 23.647 \\ & 23.648 \end{aligned}$ | 0.9896 | 0.9603 | 0.9170 | 0.8656 | 0.8110 |
|  | [14] | - | 0.9848 | 0.9431 | 0.8845 | 0.8190 | 0.7538 |
| CCCS | RFM | $\begin{aligned} & 31.826 \\ & 31.875[26] \end{aligned}$ | 0.9934 | 0.9756 | 0.9477 | 0.9126 | 0.8732 |
|  | [14] | - | 0.9906 | 0.9642 | 0.9248 | 0.8776 | 0.8271 |

Note: $W^{*} / H$ the nondimensional mazimum amplitude of the deflection.
(3) 94 coordinate functions for the CCCS and CSSS boundary conditions. This corresponds to 9th degrees algebraic polynomials approximating $U$ and $V$ and 11th degrees polynomial approximating $W$, taking the symmetry of the given plate and boundary conditions about the $y$ - axis into account.

The number of the above-indicated coordinate functions has been established by analyzing numerically the stabilization of the $T / T_{L}$ ratios convergence in the iterative process.Further increases in the number of the coordinate functions did not affect the accuracy of the solution

To examine the accuracy of the numerical results obtained by the RFM, they are compared with those obtained in Refs. [14, 26]. Table 1 also demonstrates a good agreement between the above-mentioned numerical results. The percentage error did not exceed $0.05 \%$.

As part of numerical testing procedure, the fundamental frequency period ratios $T / T_{L}$ were calculated with the assumption that the first derivatives in Eqs. (2) are negligible; thus, the error introduced by the use of the simplified expressions for the midsurface strains of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{x}=f_{1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}, \quad \varepsilon_{x}=f_{2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}, \quad \varepsilon_{x y}=f_{1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial y}+f_{2} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

was evaluated. The results of this investigation are shown in Figs. 1(a) and (b). These results have shown that substituting Eqs. (15) into functional (6), the values of ratios $T / T_{L}$ approach those obtained in Ref. [14]. The maximum deviations of the test results with those of Ref. [14] in this case do not exceed $0.009 \%$.


Fig. 1. The fundamental frequency period ratios, $T / T_{L}$, obtained by using the proposed procedure (solid lines) and taken from Ref. [14] (dashed lines) for the square plate with the following boundary conditions: (a) the curves 1 and 2 correspond to the CCCS (the edges $x= \pm a$ and $y=a$ are clamped and the edge $y=-a$ is simply supported) and to the CCSS (the edges $x=-a$ and $y=-a$ are clamped and the edges $x=a$ and $y=a$ are simply supported) boundary conditions, respectively; (b) the curves 3 and 4 correspond to the CSCS ( the edges $x= \pm a$ are clamped and the edges $y= \pm a$ are simply supported) and to the CSSS (the edge $y=-a$ is clamped and the edges $y= \pm a, y=-a$ are simply supported) boundary conditions, respectively.


Fig. 2. An angular plate with mixed boundary conditions having the cut depth equal: (a) $c / a=1$; (b) $0 \leqslant c / a \leqslant 1$.

### 3.2. Example 2

Consider a plate having a cut with $c / a=1$ as shown in Fig. 2(a). The plate has mixed boundary conditions: the shaded parts of the boundary are clamped, while the dashed lines indicate simply supported parts of the boundary. The nonlinear vibration analysis of such a plate was carried out in Ref. [13].

In this case, the functions $\omega(x, y)$ and $\omega_{1}(x, y)$ entering into structure (12) may be represented in the form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega=\left(F_{1} \wedge_{0} F_{2}\right) \wedge_{0}\left(F_{3} \vee_{0} F_{4}\right),  \tag{16}\\
\omega^{\prime}=F_{2} \wedge_{0} \varpi, \quad \text { where } \varpi=\sqrt{F_{3} \cdot F_{3} \vee_{0} F_{5}} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

In these expressions, $F_{1}=\left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right) / 2 a \geqslant 0, \quad F_{2}=\left(a^{2}-y^{2}\right) / 2 a \geqslant 0, \quad F_{3}=(-x)=0, \quad F_{4}=$ $(-y) \geqslant 0, \quad F_{5}=\left(x^{2}+(y-a / 2)^{2}-(a / 2)^{2}\right) \geqslant 0$, and $\wedge_{0}, \vee_{0} \quad R$-conjunction and $R$-disjunction, respectively, determined as [19]

$$
x \wedge_{0} y=x+y-\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}, \quad x \vee_{0} y=x+y+\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}
$$

A construction of the clamped edge boundary $\omega_{1}(x, y)$ for the plate shown in Fig. 2a, without application of the $R$ - functions causes considerable difficulties because it requires writing the equation of the line segment OA belonging to the straight line $x=0$ [18,21]. Using the $R$ functions, the above equation of the line segment OA may be represented by Eqs. (17). At that time, the function $\varpi(x, y)$ is equal to zero only at points of this segment.

The values of the fundamental frequency ratios $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$ of the plate (Fig. 2a) are presented in Table 2 depending on the nondimensional maximum amplitude of the deflection, $W^{*} / h$, for the plate shown in Fig. 2.

The numerical results in this table are given for the two variants of the conducted calculations: with the use of the simplified kinematic relations (15) $\left[\mathrm{RFM}^{(\mathrm{a})}\right]$ and with the use of the general von Karman kinematic relations (2) $\left[\mathrm{RFM}^{(\mathrm{b})}\right]$. As it follows from the comparison of these results with those reported in Ref. [13], the incorporation of the first derivatives of the in-plane displacements associated with stretching of the plate, $\partial U / \partial x$ and $\partial V / \partial y$, results in reduction of the corresponding values of the frequencies. The calculated discrepancies of the compared numerical results varies: in the variant of calculation (a) from $\approx 0.001 \%$ to $\approx 0.0203 \%$; in the variant of calculation (a) from $\approx 0.0049 \%$ to $\approx 0.0332 \%$.

For the vibration analysis of the plate shown in Fig. 2(a), the total number of coordinate functions in the expansions (13) was equal to 75 , which corresponds to 4 th degree algebraic polynomials approximating $U$ and $V$ and 8th degree polynomials approximating $W$. The above number has been ascertained by an investigation of the stability of the convergence of the ratios $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$ in the iterative process.

### 3.3. Example 3

Let the depth of the cut for the plate of Fig. 2(b) vary from $c=a$ to 0 . We will analyze below the influence of this parameter on the fundamental frequency ratios $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$. Notice that if $c \neq 0, F_{3}$, $F_{4}$ and $F_{5}$ should be presented in expressions (16) and (17) in the form

$$
F_{3}=(c-x) \geqslant 0, \quad F_{4}=(c-y) \geqslant 0, \quad F_{5}=\left((x-c)^{2}+\left(y-\frac{a-c}{2}-\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{a-c}{2}\right)^{2}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

Table 2
Fundamental frequency ratios of plate of Fig. 2

|  | $W^{*} / h$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| $[13]$ | 1.015 | 1.056 | 1.119 | 1.196 | 1.284 | 1.377 | 1.477 |
| RFM $^{(\text {a })}$ | 1.014 | 1.053 | 1.114 | 1.193 | 1.287 | 1.392 | 1.507 |
| RFM $^{(b)}$ | 1.010 | 1.041 | 1.090 | 1.156 | 1.235 | 1.327 | 1.428 |

Expressions for $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ will be of the same form as those in Eqs. (16) and (17). Table 3 presents some numerical results of the investigation of influence of the cut depth $c / a$ on frequency ratios for the plate shown in Fig. 2b. The above numerical results in this table are also depicted in Fig. 3 in terms of the cut depth $c / a$ versus the frequency ratios for the values of the amplitudes $\left(W^{*} / h\right)=(0.6,1,1.4)$.

The deviation of the values of the frequency ratio for the investigated plate of complex form for $c / a \rightarrow 0$ from the corresponding values of $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$ obtained previously for the square plate was not more than $0.0027 \%$ (for the same boundary conditions).

Table 3
Influence of the cut depth $c / a$ on the fundamental frequency ratio $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$

|  | $c / a$ | $W^{*} / h$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0 |
| RFM | 0.2 | 1.010 | 1.009 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.007 | 1.007 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.008 | 1.008 |
| [13] |  | 1.015 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.009 |
| RFM | 0.4 | 1.041 | 1.040 | 1.033 | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.029 | 1.029 | 1.030 | 1.030 | 1.031 | 1.031 | 1.031 |
| [13] |  | 1.056 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.038 |
| RFM | 0.6 | 1.090 | 1.079 | 1.072 | 1.065 | 1.065 | 1.064 | 1.064 | 1.066 | 1.067 | 1.068 | 1.068 | 1.068 |
| [13] | 1.119 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.084 |  |
| RFM | 0.8 | 1.156 | 1.136 | 1.124 | 1.113 | 1.112 | 1.110 | 1.112 | 1.114 | 1.116 | 1.117 | 1.118 | 1.117 |
| [13] |  | 1.196 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.144 |
| RFM | 1 | 1.235 | 1.204 | 1.187 | 1.170 | 1.170 | 1.167 | 1.169 | 1.172 | 1.175 | 1.177 | 1.178 | 1.178 |
| [13] |  | 1.284 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.217 |
| RFM | 1.2 | 1.327 | 1.281 | 1.259 | 1.235 | 1.236 | 1.232 | 1.234 | 1.238 | 1.243 | 1.246 | 1.247 | 1.247 |
| [13] | 1.377 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| RFM | 1.4 | 1.428 | 1.367 | 1.338 | 1.308 | 1.309 | 1.303 | 1.307 | 1.312 | 1.318 | 1.321 | 1.323 | 1.323 |
| [13] |  | 1.477 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Fig. 3. The influence of the cut depth $c / a$ on the fundamental frequencies ratio $\lambda / \lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ for the three nondimensional maximum amplitudes of the deflection: $W^{*} / h=1.4$ (solid line), $W^{*} / h=1$ (dashed line), and $W^{*} / h=0.6$ (dotted line).


Fig. 4. Plate with four cuts.

### 3.4. Example 4

Consider a plate having four symmetrical cuts (see Fig. 4) with the two types of homogeneous boundary conditions along all edges: simply supported and clamped. Numerical analysis was carried out for each type of boundary conditions using the solution structure (11). The equation of the boundary component for the plate may be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\left(F_{1} \wedge_{0} F_{2}\right) \wedge_{0}\left(\left(-F_{3}\right) \vee_{0} F_{4}\right) \wedge_{0}\left(F_{5} \vee_{0}\left(-F_{6}\right)\right), \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}=\left(a^{2}-y^{2}\right) / 2 a \geqslant 0, \quad F_{3}=\left(c^{2}-y^{2}\right) / 2 c \geqslant 0$ and $F_{5}=\left((a-d)^{2}-y^{2}\right) / 2(a-d) \geqslant 0$ are the horizontal strips located between the straight lines $y= \pm a, y= \pm c$ and $y= \pm(a-d)$, respectively. Let $F_{2}=\left(a^{2}-x^{2}\right) / 2 a \geqslant 0$ be the vertical strip located between the straight lines $x= \pm a . F_{4}=$ $\left((a-d)^{2}-x^{2}\right) / 2(a-d) \geqslant 0$ be the vertical strip bounded by the straight lines $x= \pm(a-d)$, and, at last, let $F_{6}=\left(c^{2}-x^{2}\right) / 2 c \geqslant 0$ be the vertical strip bounded by the straight lines $x \pm c ; \wedge_{0}, \vee_{0}$ are the $R$ - operations [19].

A comprehensive study of the vibrations for the given plate is presented in Tables 4 and 5 . This study is associated with the influence of the cut depth on the ratios of higher frequencies $\lambda / \lambda_{L} \quad(m=2, n=1$ and $m=2, n=2)$, as well as with the dependence of the linear frequency parameter, $\Lambda_{L}=\lambda_{L} a^{2} \sqrt{\rho / D}$, on the dimensionless value of the maximum amplitude $W^{*} / h$ for the two above-indicated boundary conditions and is presented in Table 4.

In order to check the accuracy of the obtained numerical results, the cut depth $c \rightarrow 0$ so that the shape of the plate is approached to the square one. A comparison of the numerical results obtained by the RFM and by the FEM reported in Ref. [12-14] is presented in Table 5. This comparison demonstrates the accuracy of the proposed method.

## 4. Conclusion

A variational formulation with RFM and iterative procedure for solving the geometrically nonlinear free flexural vibrations of thin plates of arbitrary shape with various boundary conditions has been presented. RFM enables one to seek the solution of the given eigenvalue

Table 4
Higher frequency ratios $\lambda / \lambda^{L}$ of the plate shown in Fig. 4

problem in the form of an analytical expression and to construct approximation sequences with the use of variational, projection, and any other methods. As a result, RFM holds the general advantages of classical analytical methods, while enabling one to solve vibration eigenvalue problems for domains of complicated plan forms.

It has been shown that the method yields rapid and convergent numerical results, which are in a good agreement with those obtained with the use of other numerical or approximate techniques. The accuracy and applicability of the RFM for the class of the geometrically nonlinear vibration plate bending problems considered in this paper has been verified successfully.

The further extension of the RFM for the dynamic analysis by considering the geometrically linear and nonlinear free and forced vibration of composite plates of an arbitrary geometry with mixed boundary conditions forms the key subject of the authors continuing research. The results of the new investigations will be reported in due course.

Table 5
The higher frequencies ratios $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$ for the square plate

| $m$ | $n$ |  | $\Lambda_{L}$ | $\lambda / \lambda_{L}$ at $W^{*} / h$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1 |
| Simply supported |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | [13] | - | 1.0218 | 1.0832 | 1.1774 | 1.2973 | 1.4363 |
|  |  | [14] | - | 1.0314 | 1.0528 | 1.1154 | 1.1979 | 1.2967 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {a }}$ | 19.740 | 1.0314 | 1.0528 | 1.1154 | 1.1979 | 1.2967 |
|  |  | [12] | 19.739 | 1.0185 | 1.0716 | 1.1534 | 1.2565 | 1.3752 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {b }}$ | 19.740 | 1.0185 | 1.0715 | 1.1532 | 1.2565 | 1.3756 |
| 2 | 1 | [13] | - | 1.0300 | 1.1136 | 1.2194 | 1.3902 | 1.5600 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {a }}$ | 49.349 | 1.0162 | 1.0636 | 1.1393 | 1.2392 | 1.3594 |
|  |  | [12] | 49.396 | 1.0238 | 1.0917 | 1.1953 | 1.3255 | 1.4746 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {a }}$ | 49.349 | 1.0237 | 1.0913 | 1.1946 | 1.3246 | 1.4737 |
|  |  | [13] | - | 1.0216 | 1.0833 | 1.1782 | 1.2985 | 1.4375 |
| 2 | 2 | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {a }}$ | 78.957 | 1.0131 | 1.0513 | 1.1124 | 1.1929 | 1.2895 |
|  |  | [12] | 79.163 | 1.0184 | 1.0713 | 1.1525 | 1.2549 | 1.3725 |
|  |  | RFM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 78.957 | 1.0184 | 1.0713 | 1.1526 | 1.2555 | 1.3738 |
| 1 | 1 | Clamped |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | [12] | 36.004 | 1.0070 | 1.0276 | 1.0607 | 1.1046 | 1.1575 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {a }}$ | 35.985 | 1.0018 | 1.0081 | 1.0209 | 1.0417 | 1.0705 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {b }}$ | 35.985 | 1.0070 | 1.0276 | 1.0607 | 1.1046 | 1.1576 |
| 2 | 1 | [12] | 73.558 | 1.0149 | 1.0571 | 1.1209 | 1.2007 | 1.2923 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {a }}$ | 73.394 | 1.0088 | 1.0347 | 1.0767 | 1.1334 | 1.2030 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {b }}$ | 73.394 | 1.0140 | 1.0542 | 1.1165 | 1.1963 | 1.2901 |
| 2 | 2 | [12] | 108.77 | 1.0136 | 1.0522 | 1.1104 | 1.1828 | 1.2653 |
|  |  | $\text { RFM }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $108.22$ | 1.0083 | 1.0329 | 1.0727 | 1.1262 | 1.1916 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RFM}^{\text {b }}$ | 108.22 | 1.0125 | 1.0486 | 1.1052 | 1.1784 | 1.2647 |
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